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The purpose of this study is to examine the cause of uxorilocal marriage in Taiwan during the period of Japanese colonial rule by utilizing the household registration data from six villages in Hsin-chu area. These data make it possible to reconstruct the life histories of husbands who lived continuously in their wife’s native villages and townships. In colonial Taiwan, there are three types of marriages exemplified by the household registration database. They are the traditional ‘major marriage’; a minor marriage which is adopted daughter turn daughter-in-law (child bride); and uxorilocal marriage by which the husband is being “call in”, or recruit, to the wife’s family. When a daughter calls in a husband to her own family is called zhou xu [招婿]; when a widow calls in a husband to her late husband’s family is called zhao fu [招夫].

According to our database, uxorilocal marriages are equally distributed the north and the south, while other types of marriage, minor marriages, are more prevalent in the north. Uxorilocal marriage existed in all parts of Taiwan because it is influenced by regional environment and household backgrounds. According to famous Chinese anthropologist Fei’s field study in the lower part of Yangtze River, he found if any local family had only female children, the parents would request the parents of their daughter’s fiancé to allow their daughter to bear a heir for their family. In other words, they had the right to request one son from their daughter after the family name and preserve the familial lineage. It was common for a daughter’s son to become the heir of a family.

In Arthur Wolf’s field study in Northern Taiwan, he argues that uxorilocal marriage can be divided into two types: contingent and institutional reasons. The former usually associates with family lineage in which families that have no male heirs use uxorilocal marriage as a way to continue family lineage; while the latter is caused by natural or economic reasons in which emphasizes on practical reasons. Pasternak, a Columbia anthropologist doing field work in the west-central part of Taiwan, observed that due to lack of the infrastructure for the irrigation system, small agriculture families because in need of extra hands to calling in a husband as a means
to obtain additional domestic labor.

In our field study village sites of the northern part of Taiwan, there were 391 women in uxorilocal marriages. Among them, 373 had no older brothers. Out of these 373 women, 48 had younger brothers. Approximately 83% women who intended to marry uxorilocally in our field sites usually had no male siblings preserving the familial lineage is the major goal of uxorilocal unions; while only 12% of them were for the demand of domestic labor.

Among men in uxorilocal marriage, about 46% had elder brothers while the rest 54% don’t. Although the colonial law prohibits single son to enter uxorilocal marriage, many of them were the only son in the family. Observing the occupation of the heads of their households, their families were of lower social ranks, for example; labors, peasants, and maids. Poverty might be the force to drive to opt for uxorilocal marriage as a way out of poverty. By examining the age of first marriage, that of men in uxorilocal marriages are usually older than that of those in major or minor marriages. The reason was that poverty may delay the age of first marriage entering into uxorilocal marriage. We may argue that uxorilocal marriage was the last and unfavorable choice for a man to form his own family. In the research field sites of Hsin-chu area, women’s choice of uxorilocal marriage was mainly driven by the desire to continue the family lineage; men’s choice of uxorilocal marriage is largely due to poverty as the limitations of family resources prevent all the sons of the same family to be able to celebrate the formal ritual of traditional major marriages. To avoid ‘losing face’ by contracting uxorilocal marriage, 74.8% geographical radius of uxorilocal marriage partners was mainly of inter-town/inter-village marriages. The inter-regional uxorilocal marriages might be the cause as well as the result of the high divorce rate. Because the geographical distance between the potential couple, the less chance they had to understand each other prior to the marriage. As a result, the lack of understanding increases the risk of marital stability.

Since uxorilocal marriage was a way to make up for men’s inabilities to pay for a proper wedding and a means to social mobility for lower class males, many of them might want to be called-in because they covet women’s family wealth and property as well. Our study indicates that both men and women were aware that uxorilocal marriage was a sort of contract or ‘transaction’, and it was established on the ground of mutual benefits or interests which might bring elements of destabilizing factors to the marriage itself.